

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/367,052 08/06/1999		999	TADAMITSU KISHIMOTO	1422-386PCT	3818
2292	7590	06/03/2003			
	EWART KOL	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			LUCAS, ZACHARIAH		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1648	<u> </u>
				DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	- X-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ن پ	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/367,052	KISHIMOTO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Zachariah Lucas	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	August 1999						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/367,052

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-5, 10-12, 16, 22, and to claim 13, drawn to DNA molecules encoding a PDSF/SDF-1 receptor, vectors and cell comprising such DNAs, and to a first method of using them (to produce a polypeptide).

Group II, claim(s) 6-9, 15, drawn to PDSF/SDF-1 receptors.

Group III, claim(s) 14, drawn to antibodies to PDSF/SDF-1 receptors.

Group IV, claim(s) 17-18, drawn to methods of screening for AIDS onset inhibitors with cells expressing a PDSF/SDF-1 receptor, by analyzing the location of HIV-1 in the cells.

Group V, claim(s) 19, drawn to methods of screening for AIDS onset inhibitors with cells expressing a PDSF/SDF-1 receptor, by determining the levels of fusion between those cells and cells expressing an HIV-1 envelope protein.

Group VI, claim(s) 20-21, drawn to methods of screening for AIDS onset inhibitors with cells expressing a PDSF/SDF-1 receptor, by determining the intracellular calcium level of the cells.

Group VII, claim(s) 20-21, drawn to methods of screening for AIDS onset inhibitors with cells expressing a PDSF/SDF-1 receptor, by determining the ability of an expressed polypeptide to bind PBSF/SDF-1.

Group VIII, claim(s) 23, drawn to methods of detecting the onset of AIDS, or an HIV-1 infection, using cells expressing a PDSF/SDF-1 receptor, and analyzing the localization of HIV-1 in the cells.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/367,052

Art Unit: 1648

Group IX, claim(s) 23, drawn to methods of detecting the onset of AIDS, or an HIV-1 infection, using cells expressing a PDSF/SDF-1 receptor, and determining the level of fusion between those cells with HIV-1 infected cells.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IX do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The inventions of Groups I, and IV-IX represent inventions comprising or using different products from those in Groups II and III.

The inventions of Groups IV-IX, each represent a second method of use of the products of Group I.

The methods of Groups VIII and IX perform a different function from those of Groups IV-VII.

The inventions of Groups VI and VII represent subcombinations that may each be used together, or separately, for the same purpose.

The inventions of Groups IV, V, VI, and VII, and the inventions of Groups VIII and IX each relate to methods using a different mode of operation to either, respectively, screen for AIDS onset inhibitors, or to detect the onset of AIDS.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's attention is hereby directed to the following is a recitation of M.P.E.P.

§821.04 regarding the restriction of claims to a product and processes of using the product,

Rejoinder:

Where product and process claims drawn to independent and distinct inventions are presented in the same application, applicant may be called upon under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect claims to either the product or process. See MPEP § 806.05(f) and § 806.05(h). The claims to the nonelected invention will be withdrawn from further consideration under 37 CFR 1.142. See MPEP § 809.02(c) and § 821 through § 821.03. However, if applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined.

Where the application as originally filed discloses the product and the process for making and/or using the product, and only claims directed to the product are presented for examination, when a product claim is found allowable, applicant may present claims directed to the process of making and/or using the patentable product by way of amendment pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121. In view of the rejoinder procedure, and in order to expedite prosecution, applicants are encouraged to present such process claims, preferably as dependent claims, in the application at an early stage of prosecution. Process claims which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116. Process claims which do not depend from or otherwise include the limitations of the patentable product will be withdrawn from consideration, via an election by original presentation (see MPEP § 821.03). Amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312. Process claims which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed product claim and which meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112 may be entered.

Application/Control Number: 09/367,052

Art Unit: 1648

The following is a recitation from paragraph five, "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. §103(b)" (1184 TMOG 86(March 26, 1996)):

"However, in the case of an elected product claim, rejoinder will be permitted when a product claim is found allowable and the withdrawn process claim **depends from or otherwise includes all the limitations of** an allowed product claim. Withdrawn process claims not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined." (emphasis added)

In accordance with M.P.E.P. §821.04 and In re Ochiai, 71 F.3d 1565, 37 USPQ 1127 (Fed. Cir. 1995), rejoinder of product claims with process claims commensurate in scope with the allowed product claims will occur following a finding that the product claims are allowable. Until, such time, a restriction between product claims and process claims is deemed proper. Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to maintain either dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/367,052

Art Unit: 1648

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachariah Lucas whose telephone number is 703-308-4240. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4027. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Z. Lucas

Patent Examiner May 27, 2003

> T JAMES HOUSEL 6/1/0 VISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600